



Springfield Municipal Jail

2017 ANNUAL REPORT

Background

The Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) was passed by Congress and signed into law by President George W. Bush in 2003 to prevent, detect and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment that occurs in confinement settings. The National Prison Rape Elimination Commission developed national standards which apply to adult prisons and jails, juvenile facilities, lockups and community confinement facilities.

PREA Statistics

The Springfield Police Department collects data in accordance with §115.87 in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, practices, and training. The information collected regarding PREA will be used to identify problem areas and to take corrective action on an ongoing basis.

During 2017 there were 3045 new admissions into the Springfield Municipal Jail. The average Daily population of SMJ was 46.

Findings

Following an investigation into an inmate's allegation that he or she was a victim of sexual misconduct, the Springfield Municipal Jail classifies each investigation as to whether the allegation has been determined to be sustained, not sustained, unfounded, exonerated, or other misconduct. Those findings are defined as such:

- | | |
|---------------------------|---|
| Sustained - | There is sufficient evidence to indicate the misconduct did, in fact, occur. |
| Not Sustained - | There is insufficient evidence to prove or disprove the allegation. |
| Unfounded - | The investigation revealed the allegation is false and was not based upon fact. No misconduct occurred. |
| Exonerated - | Investigation revealed the incident did occur, but the named member acted lawfully and properly. |
| Other Misconduct - | Sustained misconduct not alleged in original report, but discovered in the course of the investigation. |



Springfield Municipal Jail PREA Statistics 2017

Statistics 2017

2017	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	OCT	NOV	DEC	YTD
PREA (Includes ALT Programs)													
Sexual Harrassment													
Allegations													
Inmate to Inmate	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inmate to Staff	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Staff to Inmate	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Findings (unfounded)													
Inmate to Inmate	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inmate to Staff	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Staff to Inmate	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Sexual Misconduct													
Allegations													
Inmate to Inmate	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inmate to Staff	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Staff to Inmate	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Findings													
Inmate to Inmate	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inmate to Staff	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Staff to Inmate	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sexual Assault													
Allegations													
Inmate to Inmate	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inmate to Staff													
Staff to Inmate	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
Findings (unfounded)													
Inmate to Inmate	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inmate to Staff	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Staff to Inmate	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0

PREA Incident Reviews

The Springfield Municipal Jail shall review all reports of sexual abuse/assault incidents per PREA Standard §115.86. Under this standard, the jail must conduct a sexual abuse incident review at the conclusion of every sexual abuse investigation, including where the allegation has not been substantiated, unless the allegation has been determined to be unfounded. Such review will ordinarily occur within thirty (30) days of the conclusion of the investigation. The review team will include upper-level management officials, with input from line supervisors, investigators, and medical or mental health practitioners. The jail will implement the recommendations for improvement, or document its reasons for not doing so.

Review Criteria:

1. Consider whether the allegation or investigation indicates a need to change policy or practice to better prevent, detect, or respond to sexual abuse.

2. Consider whether the alleged incident was motivated by race; ethnicity; gender identity; lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex identification, status, or perceived status; or gang affiliation; or was motivated or otherwise caused by other group dynamics at the facility.
3. Examine the area in the facility where the allegation occurred to assess whether physical barriers in the area may enable abuse.
4. Assess the adequacy of staffing levels in that area during different shifts.
5. Assess whether monitoring technology should be deployed or augmented to supplement supervision by staff.
6. Prepare a report of its findings, including but not necessarily limited to determinations made pursuant to the above requirements and any recommendations for improvement and submit such report to the Jail Lieutenant and the PREA Coordinator.

Analysis/Corrective Action

Analysis: There were four unfounded PREA incidents reported during 2017.

Two unfounded sexual harassment incidents were reported. One incident alleged an inmate on inmate of sexual harassment and the second alleged a staff to inmate sexual harassment. Both incidents were investigated and determined to be unfounded by the Springfield Police Department Lieutenant of Jail Operations.

Two unfounded sexual assaults incidents were reported. Both incidents alleged staff to inmate involvement. One of the reported sexual assault incidents was forwarded to the Lane County Sheriff's Office for investigation and was determined to be unfounded. The second reported sexual assault incident was investigated and determined to be unfounded by the Springfield Police Department Lieutenant of Jail Operations.

Corrective Action: None.